UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/565,701	08/31/2007	Roman Teschner	7261	2449
29602 JOHNS MANV	7590 10/27/200 YILLE	EXAMINER		
10100 WEST U		MULCAHY, PETER D		
PO BOX 62500 LITTLETON, 0		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1796	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			10/27/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summers		Application	on No.	Applicant(s)			
		10/565,70	1	TESCHNER, ROMAN			
(Office Action Summary	Examiner		Art Unit			
		Peter D. M	•	1796			
<i> Th</i> Period for Re	e MAILING DATE of this communication ply	n appears on the	cover sheet with the c	orrespondence ac	ddress		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).							
Status							
2a)⊠ This 3)⊡ Sind	ponsive to communication(s) filed on 1 s action is FINAL . 2b) 2ce this application is in condition for allowed in accordance with the practice und	This action is no	for formal matters, pro		e merits is		
Disposition o	of Claims						
4a) (5)	im(s) <u>1-19</u> is/are pending in the applica Of the above claim(s) is/are with im(s) is/are allowed. im(s) <u>1-19</u> is/are rejected. im(s) is/are objected to. im(s) are subject to restriction and	hdrawn from coi					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
Priority unde	r 35 U.S.C. § 119						
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 							
Attachment(s)	References Cited (PTO 902)		4) Intension: Summer	(PTO 412)			
2) Notice of D 3) Information	References Cited (PTO-892) Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948 n Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) s)/Mail Date	8)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	nte			

Application/Control Number: 10/565,701 Page 2

Art Unit: 1796

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 1-19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as anticipated by or, in the alternative, under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over DE 19818046.
- 4. The rejection set forth under 35 USC 102/103 in the paper mailed 4/3/09 is deemed proper and is herein repeated.
- 5. The newly amended claims and remarks filed in support thereof have been fully considered but have been found not persuasive.
- 6. Applicants arguments are directed to the newly presented claim limitation "one film-former". It is alleged that this limitation renders the claims patentable because the cited art incorporates a film forming polymer in addition to the polyvinylpyrrolidone as claimed. Applicants argue that the addition of a polyamide, as discussed in the art, renders the instantly claimed invention patentable. This is not persuasive.

Application/Control Number: 10/565,701 Page 3

Art Unit: 1796

7. The claim language "one film-former" is not seen to be the same as –a single polymeric component—as is being argued by applicant. The language "film-former" is functional language and reads on a component that forms a film. This is to say that a combination of polymeric components falls within the scope of a single "film former." There is nothing in the specification that limits the language "film former" to a single polymeric component. As such, the claims remain anticipated.

8. The claims are further rendered prima facie obvious under 35 USC 103. This rejection is stated in the first office action and is restated here. Specifically, in the event that the claims can be interpreted so as to be limited to a single polymeric component, as argued by applicant, then it is prima facie obvious to leave out a known ingredient and lose its known function. Here, the patent specifically addresses advantages obtained when using a combination of polymers. One of ordinary skill appreciates the advantages obtained when using the combination as taught in the art. Thus, one appreciates the function of each of the polymeric components and leaving one of them out and losing the attendant function is obvious. Applicant has failed to show or allege any unexpected results obtained when a single polymeric component is used.

Conclusion

9. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not

Application/Control Number: 10/565,701 Page 4

Art Unit: 1796

mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Peter D. Mulcahy whose telephone number is 571-272-1107. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon.-Fri. 8-4:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, David Wu can be reached on 571-272-1114. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Peter D. Mulcahy/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1796